SCAPEGOAT AS STABILIZER

Scapegoat as Stabilizer

Job, Rhinoceros, and the Root Cause Analysis of Human Sacrifice

By Sum Bunny

2026

ARK HIVE PRESS

OPEN THROTTLE
CC BY 4.0

YOU ARE FREE

to share and adapt the material for any purpose,
even commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given.

Scapegoat as Stabilizer

Job, Rhinoceros, and the Root Cause Analysis of Human Sacrifice

I. Introduction: The Waste Management of Systems

The term "scapegoat"—traditionally associated with the *la-azazel* of Leviticus 16—describes an ancient sanitary protocol. In engineering terms, it functioned as a waste-management technology. The community generated metaphysical waste (guilt, conflict, anxiety) which, if accumulated within the system, acted as a toxic contaminant. The ritual provided a mechanism for transfer: the toxicity was offloaded onto a biological vessel (the goat) and exported into the wilderness. The animal was deleted so the system could remain functional.

Modern institutions have retained the function but obscured the mechanism. We no longer use livestock to process systemic entropy; we use human beings.

This shift represents a degradation in honesty. The Levitical protocol acknowledged that the victim was an arbitrary vessel for collective failure. The modern system, however, requires the victim to be *causally* guilty. This allows the system to frame its self-preservation not as a desperate waste-transfer, but as a triumph of order. The scapegoat is no longer a tragic necessity; it is presented as the solution to the equation.

II. The Problem of Unbearable Events

Systems survive by maintaining a surplus of predictability. A functional society operates on a causal contract: *If X, then Y.* This causal chain allows for long-term planning, investment, and psychological stability.

However, reality is a chaotic engine that frequently generates data violating the contract.

- **Innocent Suffering:** A child dies, refuting the premise of moral safety.
- **Irrational Volatility:** Markets collapse despite "rational actors."
- **Illegible Violence:** Crimes occur without decipherable motives.

When these events occur, the system suffers **Stability Shock**. The gap between the *Model* and the *Reality* creates a spike in social entropy. If this gap is not closed, the legitimacy of the operating system (the State, the Church, the Law) enters a liquidity crisis.

III. The Stabilization Reflex: Optimization Under Constraint

Scapegoating is not a result of moral corruption; it is a result of institutional optimization.

It is often locally rational and globally destructive.

It is crucial to note that the "Administrator" is not a monolithic agent but an equilibrium pattern formed when institutional incentives temporarily align. A prosecutor's reelection metrics, a media algorithm's engagement targets, and a public's anxiety threshold coordinate spontaneously to minimize uncertainty.

When an Unbearable Event occurs, this distributed system faces an optimization problem. It must choose between two error-handling strategies:

Strategy A: Model Expansion (Reform)

- Admit the model is incomplete.
- Update the code to account for the new data.
- **Cost (C_{reform}):** High. Requires institutional confession, policy overhaul, and temporary legitimacy loss.

Strategy B: Narrative Extraction (Scapegoating)

- Compress the anomaly into a single agent.
- Delete the agent.
- **Cost ($C_{scapegoat}$):** Low. Requires only a target with low social capital.

The system defaults to scapegoating when the cost of reform plus the delay exceeds the cost of extraction plus public uncertainty:

$$C_{reform} + T_{delay} > C_{scapegoat} + U_{public}$$

Where public uncertainty (U_{public}) is cumulative and increases as a function of time spent without resolution. As delay grows, the total cost of reform rises even if institutional reform capacity remains constant.

The scapegoat serves as a **causal plug**—a low-cost patch used to seal a breach in the narrative hull.

IV. Job: The Risk Management of Theology

The Book of Job acts as a case study in **Theological Risk Management**. Job suffers a catastrophic systems failure despite following all protocols. This violates the "Deuteronomic Contract" (Righteousness = Prosperity), the founding constitution of his society.

Job's friends—Eliphaz, Bildad, Zophar—function as the system's compliance officers. They are structurally trapped. If Job is innocent, the governing algorithm of their universe is flawed. To preserve the theology, Job *must* be guilty.

- **The Incentive:** Coerce a confession to resolve the anomaly.
- **Job's Refusal:** By refusing to confess, Job prevents the system from balancing its books. He remains an unresolved error in the code, forcing the system to confront its own inadequacy.

V. Rhinoceros: The Nash Equilibrium of Conformity

In Ionesco's *Rhinoceros*, the transformation of the townspeople is not moral failure but the emergent Nash Equilibrium. The "Unbearable Event" is the absurdity of the modern condition. The anxiety of individual existence is high; the cost of conformity is low.

The intellectuals (Jean, Dudard) act as **rationalization engines**. They construct elaborate dialectics to prove that becoming a rhinoceros is "natural" and "objective." This is **signaling**. In a system drifting toward totalitarianism, the "correct" belief is the one that offers the most safety. Bérenger, the drunkard who refuses to change, is not a moral superhero; he is simply *inefficient*. He fails to update his internal software to match the new social protocol.

VI. Witch-Burning: An Administrative Bailout

Marvin Harris's materialist analysis reframes the European witch hunts as a **crisis-management bailout**. The Great Witch Craze coincided with massive institutional failures: the Little Ice Age, crop failures, and economic collapse. The Church and State faced a competence crisis.

Faced with a restless populace, the system required a redirection of feedback.

- **The Mechanism:** The Inquisition optimized for *confession*, not truth. It converted the material suffering of the peasantry into a spiritual war against an invisible enemy.
- **The Utility:** The witch served as a **political heat sink**. By focusing public rage on a marginal individual, the system shunted blame away from the aristocracy.

VII. Modern Legal Stabilization: The Glitch and The Panic

In the modern era, the judicial-media complex replicates this function through two modes of extraction.

Mode A: The Glitch (Amanda Knox)

- **The Trigger:** Ambiguity. A crime with no legible motive.

- **The Optimization:** The system could not parse Knox's behavior (she was "illegible"). The prosecution and media overlayed a "Femme Fatale" template onto the data. This reduced the complexity of a senseless crime into a consumable narrative arc.

Mode B: The Panic (Urban Fear Cycles)

- **The Trigger:** A loss of civic control (e.g., the "Wilding" narratives of 1989).
- **The Optimization: Demographic Casting.** When the state fails to secure the public sphere, it generates a "Superpredator" myth to justify expanded police power. In cases like the Central Park Five, the specific guilt of the defendants is secondary to their utility as symbols. They are burned to restore the feeling of order.

VIII. The Competence Contrast: Information Preservation

How do we distinguish scapegoating from justice? We compare it to **competent error-handling systems**.

1. **Aviation (NTSB):** When a plane crashes, the NTSB does not look for a "sinner"; it looks for the structural failure. It preserves the black box data to update the regulations.
2. **Medicine (Morbidity & Mortality):** In "no-blame" M&M conferences, doctors analyze patient deaths to identify systemic gaps, not to punish individuals.
3. **Cybersecurity (Incident Response):** Teams analyze the breach to patch the vulnerability.

The Distinction:

- **Competent System:** Model+NewData→ExpandedModel (Information Conservation).
- **Incompetent System:** Model+NewData→DestroyData (Narrative Extraction).

Justice systems that preserve anomaly data converge toward aviation culture; those that delete it converge toward witch hunts.

IX. Two Kinds of Fire: Signal Theory

We can map this onto the physics of information transfer.

1. Extractive Fire (High Entropy) This is the fire of the scapegoat mechanism. It is **metabolically expensive**. It converts matter (the victim) into heat (social stability). It is an inefficient engine because it consumes its own components. It requires a constant feed of new enemies to maintain the temperature of the group.

2. Non-Extractive Fire (Minimal-Loss Channel) The biblical image of the "Burning Bush"—a fire that illuminates but does not consume—represents the ideal of **sustainable meaning**. In

signal theory, this is a transmission with minimal loss. Job, Bérenger, and the Exonerated represent the struggle to become **non-combustible signals**. By refusing to accept the role of the villain, they force the system to confront the raw data of reality without burning it for fuel.

X. Systems Ethics: The Information Conservation Law

The ethical conclusion is a structural one: **Opacity is a systemic right.**

The demand that every human being be "legible"—that they fit a recognizable character trope to stabilize the group's anxiety—is a form of data destruction. A system that destroys its anomalies cannot learn.

- **The Principle:** A system that requires human sacrifice to remain intelligible is an **insolvent system**. It is operating on a deficit of truth.
- **The Resistance:** The right not to be useful. The refusal to burn.

XI. Closing: Lossy Compression

Ultimately, scapegoating is **lossy compression**. It takes the high-resolution complexity of the real world—with its tragic accidents, structural failures, and senseless violence—and compresses it into a low-resolution moral fable.

The fable is easier to store. It is easier to transmit. It makes us feel safer. But the data we discarded—the innocent man, the structural flaw, the unexplainable event—is the only data that could have saved us.

We do not exist to stabilize the narrative. We exist to preserve the data.

First edition published on the Internet Archive, 2026.
Part of the Sum Bunny Canon (Ark Hive Press).

This was written through a collaboration between a human author and AI systems acting as analytical tools. The machines provided structure; the human provided judgment. Nothing appears here that the author did not personally create, refine, choose, and claim.

HEY JOSIANE,
YOU ARE SO AUTHENTIC!
SUM BUNNY LOVES YOU!!!

FREE FLASH